

GU-RADIOCARBON DATING SUBMISSION: AA-SAMPLE SHEET (2009) ¹⁴C age δ13C PROJECT NAME Kirk Ness, North Berwick CODE / DATE SSC 99-06 COLLECTOR DATE OF COLLECTION Thomas Addyman, 25 Oct 1999 DESCRIPTION OF CONTEXT IN WHICH SAMPLE FOUND Describe briefly what it was, what its fill was, what it cut or overlay, and what cut it or covered or sealed

Fill (615) of grave cut (616) for skeleton 614.

This grave is apparently the earliest grave of the assemblage, together with SK611, as it is definitely cut by a later grave SK609.

HOW THE SAMPLE RELATES TO THE CONTEXT

Describe the one or more most probable ways in which the sample material may have found its way to where it was discovered. How much time probably passed between its death and its incorporation in the context in which it was found?

The sample is from a single arm bone, which was securely found in grave cut, not associated with a coffin stain. It is associated with a graveyard assemblage of at least 19 further graves. The grave had been cut by the later grave SK609.

If the material could have been dead for a long time (decades / centuries / millennia) before it entered the context in which it was found, please describe how this may most probably have happened.

It is assumed that the body was buried within days of the death.

Could a post-depositional disturbance process have brought the sample material into the context? If so describe how you will interpret the resulting date

Most of the grave has been cut by the later grave SK609, but the arm appears to sit in situ in its grave cut, intact with no sign of disturbance. The graveyard was abandoned c1700 and the site has seen no further use.

HOW THE SAMPLE RELATES TO WHAT IS TO BE DATED

Please all but one of the following:

The sample is an integral part of the object to be dated (e.g. part of plank to date plank).

The sample is closely related to the object to be dated (e.g. encrustation to date an urn).

It is part of a skeleton.

The sample is part of a concentration of charcoal in the context described above (e.g. from a hearth).

The sample is part of a general scatter of material of uncertain origin (N.B. special justification essential)

COLLECTION METHOD

List any preservative or other	treatment used on sampl	e. n/a	
How was it collected?	by hand when context was under excavation		
How has it been stored? stored in cold and dry store re	Lightly washed, dried and then securely packed in finds bag oom.		
Was the sample wet or dry when collected?		Dry apart from moisture of soil	
If wet how was it dried?		n/a	
List any related samples sent to another laboratory		n/a	
Can the entire sample be used for dating?		yes	
SPECIALIST IDENTIFICATION	OF SAMPLE TO BE DATE	:D	

SPECIALIST IDENTIFICATION OF SAMPLE TO BE DATED

SAMPLE AS RECEIVED BY THE SPECIALIST: Unprocessed bulk sample / Sieve flot / Sieve residue / core or Kubiena sample / hand collected / other (delete all but one)

Packaging: in finds bag, padded box

Organic constituents of what you received in the laboratory, in approximate order of weight. Please mention all organics, whether amorphous or identifiable. The information here should contribute to an understanding of how the sub-sample which is to be dated might have got to where it was found.

n/a?

THE SINGLE ENTITY SUB-SAMPLE SELECTED FOR DATING

Please note that Historic Scotland will not approve the dating of subsamples containing more than one entity (with the exception of pot residues, peat and the like). HS has to pay a charge for samples which are found to be too small after processing at the radiocarbon laboratory. Charred or cremated bone should be listed as a specific type of material.

Sample material (bone, charcoal etc): bone

Sample species...Human left humerus......weight XXX

Minimum dry weights: antler: 200 mg; bone: 200 mg; shell carbonate: 15 mg; plant remains: 7 mg; wood: 7 mg; charcoal: 5 mg; charred seeds: 5 mg; peat: 7 mg

Specialist evidence for age at death of the single entity chosen for dating. For charcoal, is it small roundwood (10 to 20 years old) or the outer rings of a trunk or branch?. Does it include sapwood?

Male adult.

Age at death summary: Less than 2 yr / Up to 10 yrs / up to 20 yrs / Up to 50 yrs / Up to 100 yrs / More than 100 yrs (delete all but the shortest provable estimate):

Evidence for condition of the sub-sample: Please describe any specialist evidence for what happened to the sub-sample after death. For instance, does it look fresh or abraded? Has it degraded?

Appears not to be abraded and in good condition. The human bone from the graveyard was generally hard and well preserved.

Due to the erosion of the medieval ground surface, however, many of the remains were at a level just under the turf and the weight of passers-by had caused breakage of some bones. In some very shallow graves, the roots of the grass had etched channels in the surface cortex of the bone, possibly masking some pathological lesions. *

==========			=======
		I be obtained for all bone sample se research without consultation? Ye	
Name printed	in capital letters, please	Signature	
Identified by	David Henderson	signeddate	
Submitted by	Thomas Addyman	signed TAMyr date 8. fg	362010
Authorised for HS by Rod McCullagh		signed	date
		THIS AUTHORISATION IS FOR DATIN HAN ONE PIECE OF ORGANIC MA	
* In this I	ense however below overlyn	the sample was du	sits